1 2 3			HE SECURITIES ACT amended (the "Act")
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BENDING, MARLENI DORSEY, ALLAN EIZEI MICHAEL HERSEY, JOHNSTON, MICHAEL KIRBY, ERNEST K BRIAN LAWRENCE JOHN NEWMAN,	E BERRY, DOUNGA, GUY FANGEORGE EDW THOMAS PETAISS, ARTHUR LUKE JOHN RANDALL NO	
16			
17	IN CAMERA		
18	SETTLEMEN	NT HEARING V	/IA TELECONFERENCE
19 20 21 22	HELD ON:		rch 4, 2002 curities Commission
23 24 25 26	HELD AI.	20 Queen St 17th Floor Toronto, On	treet West
27 28 29 30	HELD BEFORE:		ore, Q.C Chair Paddon, Q.C. dams
31 32 33 34 35 36 37	APPEARANCES: Tracy Pratt Larry Ayres Ernest Kiss Arthur Krick Ron Masschael Michael Vaugh		For the Commission Self-represented Self-represented Self-represented Self-represented Jerome Collins

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	,
2		
3	INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS:	
4	SETTLEMENT HEARING: 3	
5		
6	IN CAMERA: 5	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		

ATCHISON & DENMAN COURT REPORTING SERVICES LTD.

1 --- Upon commencing at 10:00 a.m. 2 This proceeding is a settlement CHAIR: 3 hearing at the Ontario Securities Commission in the matter of Robert Thomislav Adzija, Larry Allen Ayres, David 4 Arthur Bending, Marlene Berry, Douglas Cross, Allan Joseph 5 6 Dorsey, Allan Eizenga, Guy Fangeat, Richard Jules Fangeat, Michael Hersey, George Edward Holmes, Todd Michael 7 8 Johnston, Michael Thomas Peter Kennelly, John Douglas 9 Kirby, Ernest Kiss, Arthur Krick, Frank Alan Latam, Brian 10 Lawrence, Luke John McGee, Ron Masschaele, John Newman, Randall Novak, Normand Riopelle, Robert Louis Rizzuto and 11 12 Michael Vaughan. We have before us settlement agreements 13 14 from the staff on the one part and one for Mr. Krick, one for Mr. Kiss, one for Mr. Ayres, one for Mr. Vaughan and 15 16 one for Mr. Massachaele, and those are the matters that we 17 will be dealing with this morning. 18 My name is Paul Moore. I'm a vice-chair of 19 the Ontario Securities Commission. I will be acting as 20 chair of this panel. To my left is Commissioner Adams and to my right is Commissioner Paddon. Counsel, would you 21 22 introduce yourself, please? 23 MS. PRATT: Yes. It's Tracy Pratt here for staff, and with me is Paul De Souza, who is an 24 25 investigator in the enforcement branch. I understand that we've got all the 26 27 respondents on the line and perhaps we can just go through this to make sure they're there. Ernest Kiss? 28 MR. KISS: Yes. 29 30 MS. PRATT: Larry Ayres? 31 MR. AYRES: Yes. 32 MS. PRATT: Arthur Krick? 33 MR. KRICK: Yes. 34 MS. PRATT: Ron Masschaele? 35 MR. MASSCHAELE: Yes. 36 MS. PRATT: And Jerry Collins is 37 representing Mr. Vaughan.

ATCHISON & DENMAN COURT REPORTING SERVICES LTD.

1 MR. COLLINS: That's correct, and 2 Mr. Vaughan is with me here. 3 MS. PRATT: Thank you. 4 I understand that three of the respondents at the outset would like to request for an in camera 5 6 hearing and I will let them to speak to that. 7 understand that Mr. Ayres, Kiss and Masschaele would like 8 to make that request. 9 CHAIR: Before they speak to it, what is 10 staff's position on that request? Staff is taking no position on 11 MS. PRATT: 12 those requests. 13 No position on that request. CHAIR: 14 one minute. We do not need to hear from counsel. 15 16 will grant the motion and we will go in camera. 17 --- IN CAMERA COMMENCES. 18 MS. PRATT: Thank you. And I would just 19 like to point out rule 6 of the practice guidelines that talks that the reasons would also be delivered in camera 20 in the event that the settlements are rejected. 21 22 CHAIR: That is understood. 23 MS. PRATT: Thank you. Briefly then, in terms of background, the 24 25 Notice of Hearing in this matter was issued on September 24th, 1998, and that's found at tab 2 of the submissions 26 27 of staff filed with the Commission. 28 As you have noted, there are 25 respondents in this matter. In staff's view, there is a continuum of 29 misconduct among these respondents. The respondents that 30 are before you today fall, in staff's view, on the low end 31 32 of the continuum. 33 The statement of allegations is at tab 3 of 34 the brief. On September 24th, 1998 there was also a temporary order issued by this commission. 35 That is found 36 at tab 4 of the submissions.

ATCHISON & DENMAN COURT REPORTING SERVICES LTD.

With respect to the respondents before the

37

panel today, the Commission ordered that certain registration exemptions under the Act did not apply. This order has been extended from time to time. It is in place today and is in place to the conclusion of a hearing or until further order of the Commission.

on the cases.

Staff has entered into settlement agreements with each of the five respondents before the Commission. The facts are fairly straightforward. They are set out in each of the settlement agreements.

All of the respondents sold Saxton securities to Ontario investors. None of these respondents were registered with the Commission to sell securities. Further, prospectuses were not filed and no prospectus exemptions were available to the Saxton group of companies. Therefore, these respondents all participated in an illegal distribution of the Saxton securities.

All of these respondents cooperated with staff's investigation of this matter from the outset. All have recognized their misconduct, agreed to sanctions, as set out in the settlement agreements, and in so doing, staff has avoided the necessity of a full hearing. There are some mitigating factors that relate to each of the respondents, and that's set out in the submissions.

What I was going to propose to do, unless there were some general questions that related to the facts that relate to every respondent, I was going to deal with each respondent separately, make a couple of submissions. Then if the respondent would like to say something, they could do so and then answer any questions.

CHAIR: That would be fine. Please proceed

MS. PRATT: And perhaps what would be useful is to turn to page 3 of the written submissions. That's at tab 1 of the brief, page 3, under the heading Circumstances Relevant to Settlements. And I'll begin with Mr. Kiss.

ATCHISON & DENMAN COURT REPORTING SERVICES LTD.

1 Mr. Kiss sold to three Ontario investors 2 Saxton securities in the total amount of approximately a 3 hundred thousand dollars. That's the only additional information beyond what I have already set out that 4 5 applies to all respondents. 6 Mr. Kiss, would you like to make any 7 submissions? MR. KISS: Well, the only thing I could say 8 9 is that, as you know, I was told that I was the 10 shareholder of Saxton and just that I didn't need to be registered since I was selling company-owned securities, 11 12 as it wouldn't be mentioned that they were securities, and I guess that's probably the only thing I have to say at 13 14 this point that is relevant. MS. PRATT: Does the panel have any 15 16 questions relating to Mr. Kiss? 17 CHAIR: I'm not sure I heard completely. 18 Could you repeat what you said, please? 19 MR. KISS: Yes. I was under the 20 understanding that as a shareholder of even one share of Saxton, the investment that was being sold would -- since 21 22 I was a shareholder of the company, I was basically 23 selling my own investments and I didn't need to register for that reason. So I had no idea that this was the 24 25 requirement. 26 Okay. CHAIR: So you weren't aware of the 27 requirement? 28 MR. KISS: No. 29 CHAIR: Okay. Thank you. MS. PRATT: I might add that what Mr. Kiss 30 has just said does not form part of the statement of 31 32 facts, but staff is satisfied that that information go to 33 the panel. 34 CHAIR: Thank you. Moving on then to Mr. Ayres, in 35 MS. PRATT: 36 addition to the comments I have already made, Mr. Ayres

ATCHISON & DENMAN COURT REPORTING SERVICES LTD.

sold to approximately 18 or 19 investors, for a total

37

amount of a hundred thousand dollars excluding securities sold to his own immediate family. Mr. Ayres and his family invested significantly themselves in the Saxton securities, and at this point presumably there will be a significant loss given what we know about the value of the Saxton assets as set out in the settlement agreement.

Mr. Ayres, would you like to make any

submissions?

MR. AYRES: I would like to say that I didn't think that I was doing anything wrong at that time. We had a meeting with Luke McGee and Michael Tibolla at Ron Masschaele's house at one time, and we asked them point blank if we sold this, that we would need a licence, and they explained to us that we wouldn't, and I guess had I known that this was not, you know, the thing to do, I certainly wouldn't have done it. I guess that's about all I have to say.

MS. PRATT: Again, these are facts outside of the settlement agreement, but staff is satisfied that they go before the panel.

I may just add, for the panel's information, Mr. McGee, whom Mr. Ayres just referenced, is Luke John McGee, one of the other respondents in this matter. Mr. McGee was the vice-president of Saxton and a lawyer.

Does the panel have any questions?

CHAIR: Just to clarify, there is no settlement agreement with Mr. McGee today?

MS. PRATT: There is not, correct. CHAIR: Thanks. No questions.

MS. PRATT: On that point, there are no other settlement agreements with any of the other named respondents at this point.

Moving on then to Mr. Krick, Mr. Krick sold to 17 Ontario investors Saxton securities in the total amount of approximately \$292,000. Mr. Krick did inform each purchaser of the Saxton securities that that

ATCHISON & DENMAN COURT REPORTING SERVICES LTD.

1 investment was speculative. 2 Mr. Krick, do you wish to make any 3 submissions? When I got involved in 4 MR. KRICK: Yes. this in 1997 --5 6 MS. PRATT: Sorry, we can't hear you, 7 Can you please speak up a bit louder? Mr. Krick. 8 MR. KRICK: Can you hear me now? 9 MS. PRATT: That's better. Thank you. 10 MR. KRICK: When I got involved in 1997 through the Dorsey Insurance Group, I understood that it 11 12 was a speculative business and it will pay dividends out at ten-and-a-half, ten-and-a-quarter percent --13 14 MS. PRATT: Sorry, we're having some difficulties catching your words, Mr. Krick. 15 16 MR. KRICK: So I started doing all of this 17 back in '97 through the Dorsey Insurance Group in Brantford, and I understood then it was a speculative -- a 18 19 risk involved, but nobody mentioned to me -- I asked Luke 20 McGee and Al Eizenga if I needed a security licence. They said no. It was like a GIC, five year, money paid 21 out, and so, therefore, I went ahead, and I knew there was 22 23 some risk involved in Cuba and there could be, well, no problem like this down the road, but that there was to be 24 25 a risk and there might, and that's why I never took people -- too much money at one time, and it turned out a 26 big problem. 27 MS. PRATT: Okay. Again, Mr. Krick's 28 comments speak to facts outside of the settlement 29 30 Staff is satisfied that they be considered. agreement. Mr. Krick mentioned a Mr. Eizenga. 31 32 Allan Eizenga, one of the named respondents in this 33 matter. Mr. Eizenga was the president of Saxton. 34 believe Mr. Krick mentioned the Dorsey Group out of 35 Brantford. He's referencing another of the respondent's, 36 Allan Joseph Dorsey, and I believe that Randall Novak was 37 also part of the Dorsey Group out of Brantford, again,

ATCHISON & DENMAN COURT REPORTING SERVICES LTD.

other respondents in this matter.

 Finally, Mr. Krick mentioned Cuba, and you will see in the facts of the settlement agreement a reference to Saxton had held out to the public that it was investing in entities in Cuba and other Caribbean countries, and that is what Mr. Krick is referencing.

MR. PADDON: Did anybody, any of the investors get any money back?

MS. PRATT: At this point I don't believe they have. There is an underlying business in Cuba and again, staff is not aware of all of the details, but it's referenced in the statement of facts that there was some business going on in Cuba and as I understand it, that business or a part of that business is still operating. I know that there is an ongoing effort by certain investors to seek restitution of their monies but as I understand it, that has not proved fruitful to this point.

CHAIR: And, counsel, I believe that agreed fact number 6 is that in early 1999 KPMG reported that the offering corporations had raised approximately 37 million from investors. All funds invested in the offering corporations had been transferred to Saxton. At that time, KPMG held the view that the value of the Saxton assets at its highest as reported by related companies was approximately \$5.5 million.

MS. PRATT: That's correct, but we don't have anymore recent information than what's in the settlement agreement.

CHAIR: Thank you.

MS. PRATT: Moving on then to Mr. Vaughan, Mr. Vaughan sold the Saxton securities to two Ontario investors for an approximate total of \$35,000.

Mr. Collins, did you wish to make any submissions on behalf of your client?

MR. COLLINS: Just a minor one. This is Jerry Collins speaking from St. Thomas. Mr. Vaughan is with me. I would reiterate some of the things that you

ATCHISON & DENMAN COURT REPORTING SERVICES LTD.

have already heard.

Mr. Vaughan waited about three years before getting involved in this. He watched it from the sidelines and saw some very major investors in this city get involved. When he was convinced that the investment was on the up-and-up and only then did he get involved and he addressed Robert Adzija, one of the respondents, as well as Richard Fangeat, another respondent, with pointed questions about whether these were securities, whether a licence was required, and was advised that, no, because they were shares in a company that he held shares in, he wouldn't need to be registered.

He is a licensed insurance broker under RIBO and certainly would have had no intention selling these securities against the law and had he any idea that it would have been. I think that that is pretty well all that we would have to put forth.

MS. PRATT: Thank you.

I think that Mr. Collins pointed out quite rightly that both Mr. Adzija and Mr. Fangeat, those are both named respondents in this matter, and again, the facts recounted to you by Mr. Collins are not within the body of the settlement agreement, but staff is satisfied that they be considered by the panel.

I might just mention Mr. Fangeat at some point held a position at Saxton. He effectively was the leader of the salespeople, if I can put it that way.

CHAIR: Thank you.

MS. PRATT: So maybe we can move on to Mr. Masschaele?

CHAIR: Yes.

MS. PRATT: Mr. Masschaele then sold the Saxton securities to thirty-odd Ontario investors for an approximate total value of \$550,000, when you exclude the securities sold to Mr. Masschaele's direct family. Like Mr. Ayres, Mr. Masschaele and his family made a significant investment in the Saxton securities, which at

ATCHISON & DENMAN COURT REPORTING SERVICES LTD.

this point appears to be a loss for Mr. Masschaele. 1 2 Mr. Masschaele, do you wish to make any 3 submissions to the panel? MR. MASSCHAELE: I'd like to turn the clock 4 5 back about three years. I believe again, like Larry said, 6 that we had Mr. McGee visit my house and Mr. Tibolla --MS. PRATT: Sorry to interrupt, 7 Mr. Masschaele, but we're having problems hearing you, so 8 9 perhaps if you could talk a little bit more slowly and a 10 little louder. Thank you. MR. MASSCHAELE: I'll talk louder. 11 12 Like I said, the things that Larry Ayres said, that we had Mr. McGee at my house and Mr. Tibolla 13 14 and at that time then we asked them, you know, could we sell this, and they were asking us to sell the product and 15 16 they said it was no problem. It was under the seed cap corporation. They did it in 1993. So we were assuming 17 18 that everything was up above board, otherwise, believe me, 19 there would be no way we would put our family's money, 20 including our friends' money, into this thing at all. Just like everybody else has said, we just didn't know at 21 22 the time. 23 MS. PRATT: Was the panel able to understand Mr. Masschaele? 24 25 CHAIR: Yes. Yes, we were. Thank you. 26 MS. PRATT: Okay. And the only name, I 27 believe, that Mr. Masschaele raised that we haven't heard before is Mr. Tibolla. Mr. Tibolla is not a named 28 29 respondent. At one point he was the president of Saxton. Also a lawyer. 30 MR. MASSCHAELE: 31 MS. PRATT: Pardon me? 32 MR. MASSCHAELE: Also a lawyer. 33 MS. PRATT: Yes. 34 MR. MASSCHAELE: So we were trusting all 35 these lawyers. 36 MS. PRATT: Does the panel have any 37 questions as it relates to Mr. Masschaele?

ATCHISON & DENMAN COURT REPORTING SERVICES LTD.

1 CHAIR: No questions. 2 In conclusion then, with a view MS. PRATT: to the Commission's mandate and the principles relevant to 3 sanctions, staff is of the view that these settlements are 4 in the public interest and that the sanctions are 5 6 commensurate with the misconduct in these circumstances. Thank you, counsel. Any questions? 7 CHAIR: 8 MR. PADDON: No questions. 9 CHAIR: Any questions? We will take a 10 five-minute adjournment and then we will come back with our decision. 11 12 MS. PRATT: Thank you. --- Adjournment commencing at 10:24 a.m. 13 --- Upon resuming at 10:28 a.m. 14 The panel has decided that we will 15 CHAIR: 16 approve these settlement agreements as being in the public 17 interest. Part of the sanction is a reprimand of the respondents. 18 19 Normally we would insist that the 20 respondents be physically present; however, they are present by telephone. In this case we think it's 21 22 appropriate, for convenience, to have a telephone hearing, 23 but I would normally ask the respondents to stand; however, please remain seated. 24 We're satisfied that each of the 25 26 respondents has learned their lesson and there's no reason 27 to believe that there's going to be a repeat. We believe 28 that the sanctions are appropriate. We feel that in some respects each of the respondents has been a victim, but 29 30 ignorance of the law is no excuse. This situation has shown the absolute 31 32 necessity for independent verification. It's not enough 33 to get persons involved in a transaction, even if they are 34 professionals, to give opinions as to whether things are 35 right or not. People who have a conflict of interest,

ATCHISON & DENMAN COURT REPORTING SERVICES LTD.

because they are investors themselves or promoters, are

not really a good source of due diligence. So that it's

36 37

unfortunate that the right questions were asked but they were not asked of the right people; and had independent counsel been asked the questions, the answers wouldn't have been without conflict of interest; and if competent counsel were asked, different answers would have been given.

The second thing is once each of you brought in others, families, friends, then not only were you victims but you also became maybe willing or unknowingly, but you became perpetrators. You participated in unregistered activity, and the law is clear that this is not to be permitted, and we have to make sure that appropriate sanctions are in place so that this will not happen again and the proper message will be sent to others.

So we believe, partly in view of the time that you have been struggling with this matter, we think the sanctions are appropriate in the circumstances. We think you have learned your lesson, and we don't chastise you but we do reprimand you.

Anything further to be added, Commissioner

Adams?

MS. ADAMS: No.

CHAIR: Commissioner Paddon?

MR. PADDON: No.

CHAIR: Do counsel wish to make any further

statements?

MS. PRATT: No, that's fine. Thank you.

CHAIR: Then this matter is terminated and we will sign the order. Thank you.

MS. PRATT: Thank you. So it's now over. But just so that the people on the telephone understand what's happening, the orders are being signed as we speak. I will send to each of you a copy of the signed order. The order takes effect as of today and so the sanctions will commence as of today.

Does anyone have any questions about that?

ATCHISON & DENMAN COURT REPORTING SERVICES LTD.

1		Mr. Kiss?
2		MR. KISS: No, that's fine.
3		MS. PRATT: Mr. Krick?
4		MR. KRICK: That's fine.
5		MS. PRATT: Mr. Ayres?
6		MR. AYRES: That's fine.
7		MS. PRATT: Mr. Masschaele?
8		MR. MASSCHAELE: No problem.
9		MS. PRATT: Mr. Collins?
10		MR. COLLINS: Yes, that's fine.
11		MS. PRATT: Okay. Thank you very much
10		then. You can now hang up.
12		chem. To a carr now many ap.
13		Whereupon hearing is concluded at 10:32 a.m.
	14	
	14 15	Whereupon hearing is concluded at 10:32 a.m.
		Whereupon hearing is concluded at 10:32 a.m. I HEREBY CERTIFY THE FOREGOING
	15	Whereupon hearing is concluded at 10:32 a.m. I HEREBY CERTIFY THE FOREGOING to be a true and accurate
	15 16	Whereupon hearing is concluded at 10:32 a.m. I HEREBY CERTIFY THE FOREGOING to be a true and accurate transcription of my shorthand notes
	15 16 17	Whereupon hearing is concluded at 10:32 a.m. I HEREBY CERTIFY THE FOREGOING to be a true and accurate transcription of my shorthand notes
	15 16 17 18	Whereupon hearing is concluded at 10:32 a.m. I HEREBY CERTIFY THE FOREGOING to be a true and accurate transcription of my shorthand notes
	15 16 17 18 19	Whereupon hearing is concluded at 10:32 a.m. I HEREBY CERTIFY THE FOREGOING to be a true and accurate transcription of my shorthand notes

ATCHISON & DENMAN COURT REPORTING SERVICES LTD.